Dialogue : A Journal Devoted to Literary Appreciation
Vol XXI, No. 1 June 2025

Testimonies of the Unspeakable: Tracing Sexual Violence, PTSD and Invisible Wounds in Hanya Yanagihara's *A Little Life*

Veenita Rai
Research Scholar, Department of English and Modern European Languages
University of Lucknow, Lucknow

Publication Info

Article history :
Received : 09-03-2025
Accepted : 14-04-2025
DOI : 10.30949/dajdtla.v21i1.8

Key words:
Trauma, PTSD, Sexual Violence Narrative, Awareness.

Corresponding author :
raiveenita1210@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In recent decades, contemporary fiction has increasingly become a site for bearing witness to forms of violence that defy easy narration. This paper explores how Hanya Yanagihara's *A Little Life* (2015) grapples with the representation of sexual violence, its lingering psychic aftermath and the invisible wounds that shape survivors' lives long after the traumatic event. Drawing on trauma theory, particularly the work of Cathy Caruth, Judith Herman and Shoshana Felman, this study examines how the novel foregrounds the unspeakable dimensions of trauma through narrative voice, temporal disjunction and the interplay of speech and silence. *A Little Life* follows Jude St. Francis's lifelong struggle with PTSD and self-harm, laying bare the cyclical, non-linear nature of trauma and the ethical stakes of spectatorship. By reading the text in dialogue, this paper argues that the novel resists redemptive arcs and therapeutic resolutions, instead using narrative strategies to preserve trauma's irreducible residue. Ultimately, this study contends that such literature functions as a form of counter-memory, challenging readers to confront their complicity as witnesses and to acknowledge the fragile limits of empathy when encountering the unspeakable. The paper concludes by reflecting on the transformative yet unsettling possibilities of reading trauma narratives in an era of heightened awareness of sexual violence.

In the landscape of contemporary fiction, narratives that grapple with sexual violence and its psychological aftershocks occupy a crucial yet deeply unsettling place. Hanya Yanagihara's *A Little Life* (2015) does not merely recount traumatic experiences; it creates narrative spaces that bear witness to wounds that linger long after the event, challenging readers to inhabit the fraught terrain between representation and silence. The novel stands out for its uncompromising commitment to exploring what trauma theorists often call "the unspeakable"—the dimension of human suffering that eludes language and resists narrative closure. While the literary treatment of sexual violence is by no means new, its contemporary representations demand a reconsideration of how fiction functions as testimony in an age of heightened awareness, where discourses around consent, victimhood and trauma have become urgent sites of cultural contestation. Unlike more conventional trauma narratives that aim toward therapeutic catharsis, Yanagihara's novel subverts expectations of redemption and recovery. Instead, it foregrounds the unsettling persistence of psychological wounds and the impossibility of fully translating those wounds into words.

The scholarly interest in trauma narratives, often traced back to the interdisciplinary rise of trauma studies in the 1990s, has brought together psychoanalysis, historiography and literary theory to examine how traumatic memory operates. Cathy Caruth, one of the seminal voices in this field, argues that "trauma is not locatable in the simple violent or original event in an individual's past, but rather in the way that its very unassimilated nature—the way it was precisely not known in the first instance— returns to haunt the survivor later on" (4). Judith Herman's *Trauma and Recovery* similarly asserts that speaking one's trauma is essential but

also fraught with the danger of re-traumatization. In *A Little Life*, this paradox plays out through the ways narrative voice, temporal structure and gaps in articulation function as both testimony and safeguard, allowing trauma to be witnessed but never wholly possessed or consumed by the reader. *A Little Life* follows Jude St. Francis's lifelong struggle with PTSD and self-harm, laying bare the cyclical, non-linear nature of trauma and the ethical stakes of spectatorship. The novel's sprawling length, recursive structure and refusal to provide therapeutic resolution have sparked both acclaim and criticism. For some, it verges on "trauma porn," an unrelenting spectacle of suffering. Yet this very excessiveness can be read as a radical refusal to compress or sanitize trauma into a palatable arc.

This paper situates this work within the larger framework of trauma theory to ask: How does this narrative bear witness to what is, by definition, unspeakable? What narrative strategies does it deploy to balance revelation and concealment? How does it negotiate the ethical minefield of representing sexual violence and PTSD without reproducing harm? And, crucially, what does it mean for readers to become co-witnesses to this story? By tracing how *A Little Life* constructs what Marianne Hirsch has called "counter-memory," the paper argues that the novel resists cultural forgetting, insisting that we dwell in the uncomfortable aftermath of harm that is all too easily dismissed. Ultimately, in examining the testimony of a character who remains haunted by what he cannot fully speak, this study highlights fiction's paradoxical power: to name the unnameable, to narrate the unnarratable and to make visible the wounds that remain invisible.

Theoretical Framework: Trauma, Testimony and the Unspeakable

Trauma theory emerged in the final decades of the twentieth century as an interdisciplinary response to what Cathy Caruth calls the "incomprehensible" nature of traumatic experience (16). This theoretical approach foregrounds the paradox that trauma is both an unassimilated event and one that endlessly intrudes into the survivor's present. Rather than existing as a past event that can be easily narrated, trauma is defined precisely by its belatedness, its disruptive temporalities and its resistance to conventional narrative form. At the heart of trauma theory is the question of *testimony*—the act of bearing witness to what cannot be fully spoken. In *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis and History* (1992), Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub argue that traumatic experience defies the normal structures of memory and language. For Felman and Laub, testimony is not a simple transmission of factual truth but rather an ethical act that takes place in the relational space between survivor and listener, speaker and witness. This dynamics makes the role of the reader or listener inherently unstable: to bear witness is to risk failure, misunderstanding and even complicity.

Cathy Caruth extends this idea by theorizing trauma as an encounter with death that remains unresolved within the psyche. She famously defines trauma as a "response to an unexpected or overwhelming violent event or events that are not fully grasped as they occur, but return later in repeated flash-backs, nightmares, and other repetitive phenomena" (91). This notion of belatedness, *Nachträglichkeit,* challenges conventional narrative structures that presume an event can be recounted in linear time. Instead, trauma demands a narrative form that reflects its disruptive temporal logic: non-linear, fragmented, recursive. Judith Herman's *Trauma and Recovery* (1992) grounds these psychoanalytic insights within the clinical reality of trauma survivors, particularly women who have suffered sexual violence. Herman argues that the process of recovery necessarily involves "the reconstruction of the trauma story" but simultaneously acknowledges that complete articulation may never be possible (180-81).

Silence, gaps and narrative rupture are therefore not failures but essential markers of trauma's resistance to closure. Herman's insight aligns with what Dominick LaCapra later terms "acting out" versus "working through." For LaCapra, the ethical challenge is to find a form that neither retraumatizes nor prematurely resolves what remains unresolved (70–71).

These tensions are nowhere more evident than in literary representations of sexual violence. On the one hand, narrative testimony can empower survivors, challenge cultural silences and function as a form of counter-memory—what Marianne Hirsch describes as a "postmemory" that bridges past and present, private pain and collective witnessing. On the other hand, there is always the risk that the depiction of trauma devolves into spectacle as Leigh Gilmore warns in *The Limits of Autobiography* (2001). Gilmore critiques the commodification of pain in memoirs and fiction alike, arguing that representations of violence often reproduce the power dynamics they seek to expose. Against this critical backdrop, *A Little Life* engages with these questions directly and relentlessly. Yanagihara's *A Little Life* takes this logic further by refusing the closure that even death might provide. Jude St. Francis, a survivor of horrific childhood abuse, lives as a body that becomes a site of perpetual wounding. His self-harm, suicidal ideation and disordered eating are forms of "acting out" that resist the narrative arc of healing. The novel's sprawling length and non-linear structure enact the temporal disjunction Caruth describes: past and present collapse into each other, blurring the boundaries between memory and reliving.

Crucially, the novel compels readers to occupy an uneasy position as witnesses. It does not merely depict trauma; it implicates the reader in its consumption. This dynamic raises ethical questions about spectatorship: to what extent does the reader's desire for narrative closure mirror a cultural impulse to contain, sanitize or even exploit the spectacle of violence? Susan Sontag's *Regarding the Pain of Others* (2003) reminds us that "Photographs of an atrocity may give rise to opposing responses. A call for peace. A cry for revenge. Or simply the bemused awareness, continually restocked by photographic information, that terrible things happen" (13). Similarly, trauma fiction navigates this fraught terrain between testimony and spectacle, revelation and violation. Therefore, to read this novel through the lens of trauma theory is to engage with the paradoxes at the heart of testimony: the need to speak and the impossibility of full articulation; the urgency of witnessing and the danger of re-violation; the promise of narrative healing and the reality that some wounds remain unhealed. As this paper will demonstrate, *A Little Life* does not resolve these paradoxes but inhabits them; constructing narrative forms that are ethically, aesthetically and politically attuned to the demands of bearing witness to the unspeakable.

A Little Life: Infinite Wounds and Impossible Recovery

If the unspeakable is located anywhere in contemporary fiction, it is found within the living, breathing body of Jude St. Francis, a survivor who never escapes but must endure. First published in 2015, the novel has become infamous for its relentless depiction of Jude St. Francis's sexual abuse, his lifelong post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and his cyclical acts of self-harm. Jude's trauma is relentlessly material: it is inscribed on his body, carved into flesh through scars, cuts and the hidden geography of wounds that will not close. At the center of *A Little Life* lies the paradox that some trauma is not a single event but an ongoing condition, a structure of violence that replays itself endlessly, which very well aligns with Cathy Caruth's definition of trauma discussed above. Jude embodies this insight perfectly: his narrative never "moves on." Instead, it folds back upon itself, each return to memory reopening the wound it

cannot heal.

The Architecture of Endless Suffering

From the outset, Yanagihara makes clear that Jude's suffering is not the novel's subplot; it is its gravitational center. Orphaned and abandoned, Jude spends his childhood in a monastery where he is systematically sexually exploited by the Brother Luke, then trafficked and abused by countless men. Unlike the relatively contained horror of Susie Salmon's single violation, Jude's past is a labyrinthine horror that metastasizes across years, institutions and adult identities. It is what trauma theorist Maria Root would call an "insidious trauma": not a single catastrophic event but a sustained assault on identity and trust. Yanagihara's structural decision to withhold much of Jude's history until deep into the narrative enacts trauma's belatedness. The reader learns fragments through flashbacks, dreams and disturbing recollections triggered by moments of intimacy or crisis. Even the sheer physical length of the novel (over 700 pages) mimics the exhausting endurance of Jude's pain, refusing the reader the relief of narrative brevity. This radical excess—the narrative's refusal to resolve or contain Jude's agony—has provoked fierce debate. Some critics have accused Yanagihara of indulging in "misery porn," a voyeuristic display of suffering that borders on exploitation. But read through the lens of trauma theory, this excessiveness can be understood as a formal strategy: it resists the redemptive arcs that so often sanitize trauma in literature. Instead, the novel insists that some wounds remain open and that our desire for narrative resolution is itself a symptom of our discomfort with the unspeakable.

The Body as Archive

Jude's body is always, painfully, there; a living testament to violence. His compulsive self-harm literalizes the inscription of trauma. Each cut is a wound within a wound, a paradoxical attempt to externalize internal pain and reclaim control over what remains uncontrollable. Judith Herman writes that many survivors reenact the trauma through self-destructive acts as a way to manage overwhelming feelings that cannot be integrated (166). Jude's body thus becomes both his prison and his text, a site where trauma is relived, re-enacted and written upon. Yanagihara does not flinch from the disturbing intimacy of this self-destruction. In one passage, Jude's cutting is described in clinical, unadorned language, resisting the temptation to aestheticize pain. The narration repeatedly shows Jude hiding his wounds under layers of clothing, terrified that his friends will see his truth made visible. This secrecy underscores the paradox of "invisible wounds": they are hidden in plain sight, carried by survivors who learn to mask the unspeakable beneath the trappings of success, friendship and love. For Jude, shame is perhaps the deepest wound. His profound self-loathing reveals what Leigh Gilmore identifies as the psychic trap of victim-blaming that often accompanies sexual abuse (139). Even in his most intimate relationships—with Willem, his best friend and lover—Jude remains unable to articulate his past fully. This failure is not merely an individual shortcoming; it is trauma's structure asserting itself. As Felman and Laub remind us, the unspeakable dimension of trauma is precisely what defies linear narrative, demanding new forms of witness.

The Ethics of Spectatorship

Perhaps more than any recent novel, *A Little Life* forces the reader to confront the moral complexity of witnessing trauma on the page. Yanagihara has been criticized for the relentlessness of Jude's suffering, yet this discomfort is precisely the point. The novel implicates its audience in the spectacle of pain, echoing Susan Sontag's warning that images,

and by extension, narratives, of suffering can become a form of voyeuristic pleasure (42). The reader becomes complicit, both revolted and transfixed, forced to ask: What does it mean to consume another's agony as art. This question is further complicated by the novel's representation of queer trauma. Jude's sexual abuse is not merely a backdrop but is interwoven with his complex, often tender relationships with men in adulthood. Yanagihara's depiction of queer male intimacy is both radical and troubling: it reveals the double bind in which love and violence become inextricably linked in Jude's psyche. As Sarah Schulman argues in *Conflict Is Not Abuse*, narratives of queer trauma must resist the tendency to conflate queerness itself with pathology; yet Yanagihara refuses any easy separation of victimization from identity (75). The novel thus unsettles both queer and trauma discourses, asking whether there can be a coherent self when one's history is built upon unspeakable violation.

Testimony Without Redemption

Crucially, *A Little Life* offers no redemptive resolution. Jude does not "heal" in any conventional sense; instead, he ends his life by suicide, his final act both tragic and horrifyingly consistent with his narrative arc. His death is not a moment of catharsis but an indictment of a world that could not keep him safe. The novel's refusal to grant readers the consolation of closure echoes LaCapra's insistence that some traumas must be "acted out" indefinitely, that they resist the neat telos of "working through" (70). The final chapters shift the burden of testimony onto Jude's friends, especially Willem and Harold. But even they cannot speak fully for Jude's suffering; they are left with fragments, silences and unanswered questions. The reader, too, is forced to grapple with what remains unspeakable. In this sense, Yanagihara's novel performs what Marianne Hirsch calls counter-memory: it insists that we carry the traces of Jude's life and pain beyond the final page, even if we do not fully comprehend them.

Narrative Form as Trauma Form

Ultimately, *A Little Life* is a radical experiment in form as well as content. Its recursive structure, its endless returns to Jude's trauma and its defiance of narrative economy enact the temporal and psychic dislocations that define traumatic experience. *A Little Life* wounds us with a voice that refuses to be silenced, even as it is endlessly silenced by its own shame. Yanagihara's work thus challenges us to rethink what testimony can be in the twenty-first century. Rather than offering healing or moral reassurance, *A Little Life* testifies to the terrible endurance of invisible wounds. It demands that we stay with the pain, recognizing that the true ethical challenge is not to "understand" trauma but to acknowledge the limits of our understanding, and to sit with the unspeakable nonetheless.

Counter-Memory, Narrative Resistance and Ethical Reading

A Little Life forms a powerful double testament to the ways contemporary fiction can sustain counter-memory—narrative practices that resist cultural forgetting, refuse neat closure and insist on the visibility of wounds that social structures often erase. The novel stands as a reminder that fiction can do more than merely depict trauma: it can create a space in which the unspeakable is simultaneously made present and protected, held open rather than forcefully resolved. Marianne Hirsch's idea of '*postmemory'* is useful here. Originally coined to describe how the children of Holocaust survivors inherit memories they did not live, Hirsch expands it to mean the relationship that the 'generation after' bears to the personal, collective and cultural trauma of those who came before (22). In Yanagihara's novel, the reader functions as that "generation after"—a witness who did not live the original trauma yet bears it forward by

holding the fractured, incomplete testimonies of characters who cannot forget. *A Little Life* stages counter-memory by rejecting the idea that trauma must lead to healing, closure or narrative resolution. Jude St. Francis's life is a testament to the limits of what can be assimilated into a coherent self. Yanagihara denies the reader a redemptive ending; there is no recovery arc that transforms Jude's wounds into a palatable lesson. Instead, the novel's length, its repetitive returns to the site of suffering and its refusal to provide full disclosure all enact what Michael Rothberg calls "traumatic realism"—a form that makes trauma's psychic structure visible by resisting realism's tendency toward narrative mastery (9).

The novel generates what Dominick LaCapra describes as "empathic unsettlement." In *Writing History, Writing Trauma*, LaCapra argues that trauma narratives must refuse the consolations of identification and instead unsettle the reader's position He says that one does not fully identify with the victim, but one comes to inhabit, however uncomfortably, the traumatic dimension of the victim's experience (78). In *A Little Life*, the reader is forced into the exhausting intimacy of Jude's wounds, a position that oscillates between compassion and complicity. This dynamic raises urgent ethical questions about spectatorship. As Susan Sontag reminds us in *Regarding the Pain of Others*, witnessing suffering can become a form of consumption: "Being a spectator of calamities taking place in another country is a quintessential modern experience…" (18). Though she speaks of photography, the warning extends to fiction. Yanagihara confronts the reader with relentless detail, implicating us in the desire to see and the desire to look away. In doing so, the novel resists what Leigh Gilmore calls the commodification of pain. In *The Limits of Autobiography*, Gilmore warns that the market often demands narratives of suffering that provide a sense of moral uplift or closure. Instead, the novel insists on what Caruth calls the "impossible witnessing" of trauma (117). It leaves gaps and silences that do not resolve but rather mark the boundaries of what language can hold.

Importantly, this text also destabilizes the expectation that storytelling will redeem the teller. Judith Herman famously writes that "recovery can take place only within the context of relationships; it cannot occur in isolation" (133). But Yanagihara reminds us that literature cannot stand in for the social structures that fail victims. In *A Little Life*, the caring relationships Jude finds—Willem's love, Harold's fathering—never undo the foundational violence that shaped him. This is not a narrative failure but an ethical statement: some wounds remain unhealed because the social conditions that produced them persist. By foregrounding this reality, this novel challenges readers to confront their own discomfort. It positions us as co-witnesses, inheritors of postmemory who must carry forward the knowledge that sexual violence and its psychic aftermath are not aberrations but structural realities. It reminds us that testimony is not only about giving voice to the past but about insisting that its traces remain in the present, haunting our collective consciousness. In the end, *A Little Life* enacts a radical narrative resistance. It refuses to allow the unspeakable to be neatly spoken, to be processed, forgiven or forgotten. In doing so, it illuminates literature's paradoxical power: to hold open wounds that cannot be closed, to make space for voices that refuse to be silenced and to demand that we, as readers, remain uncomfortable witnesses to what the world would prefer not to see.

Conclusion

In confronting the unspeakable dimensions of sexual violence, PTSD and invisible wounds, *A Little Life* stands as a powerful exemplar of what contemporary fiction can do: it makes audible what is silenced, visible what is hidden and present what is perpetually disavowed by cultural memory. Drawing on the conceptual frameworks of trauma theory—Caruth's belatedness,

Felman and Laub's crises of witnessing, Herman's insights into recovery and LaCapra's empathic unsettlement—this paper has traced how the novel resists narrative closure and therapeutic resolution, refusing to transform their characters' pain into consumable spectacle or moral uplift. Jude St. Francis embodies the horror of surviving: a body that carries invisible wounds into every relationship, every act of self-harm, every attempt to forge intimacy. The novel's recursive narrative loops and sprawling form enact trauma's non-linearity, asking readers to inhabit the unbearable instead of seeking neat closure. The work insists that the testimony of the unspeakable can never be fully assimilated. Instead, it reminds us that literature's ethical power lies not in offering solutions but in compelling us to sit with that which cannot be solved. It resists the cultural compulsion to sanitize trauma into lessons, cautionary tales or inspirational narratives. Instead, *A Little Life* insists on the messy, uncomfortable truth that some wounds never heal—and that acknowledging this truth is itself an act of radical witnessing.

In the era of #MeToo and ongoing revelations of institutional complicity in sexual violence, the novel remains urgent. It does not merely document individual suffering but illuminates the social conditions that render certain bodies more vulnerable and certain wounds more easily erased. It compels us to be vigilant witnesses, to hold open the space for stories that will not conform to our desire for resolution. In doing so, it offers not redemption but responsibility—an insistence that the unspeakable be remembered, named and carried forward, however imperfectly, in our collective narrative.

Works Cited

Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.

Felman, Shoshana and Dori Laub. *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis and History*. Routledge, 1992.

Gilmore, Leigh. *The Limits of Autobiography: Trauma and Testimony*. Cornell UP, 2001.

Herman, Judith. *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence—from Domestic Abuse to Political Terror*. Basic Books, 1992.

Hirsch, Marianne. *Family Frames: Photography, Narrative and Postmemory*. Harvard UP, 1997.

LaCapra, Dominick. *Writing History, Writing Trauma*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2001.

Rothberg, Michael. *Traumatic Realism: The Demands of Holocaust Representation*. U of Minnesota P, 2000.

Root, Maria P. P. "Reconstructing the Impact of Trauma on Personality." *Personality and Psychopathology*, edited by John Oldham et al., Guilford, 1995, pp. 229–67.

Schulman, Sarah. *Conflict Is Not Abuse: Overstating Harm, Community Responsibility and the Duty of Repair*. Arsenal Pulp Press, 2016.

Sontag, Susan. *Regarding the Pain of Others*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2003.

Yanagihara, Hanya. *A Little Life*. Doubleday, 2015.